Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/900,769	AKIMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Anthony	1714
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Joseph D. Anthony</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Terryence F. Chapman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview.
Jac Fullary 3/10/04		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Chapman Faxed a copy of the Second Preliminary Amendment which was filed on 03/05/04 in copending U.S. Application S.N. 10/158,570 wherein independent claim 9 was amended to positively exclude ferrite powders from the claimed single crystal ceramic powder. As such, the previously made provisional obvious-type double patenting rejection of applicant's claims 1, 3 and 5 over claims 9-12 of S.N. 10/158,570 has been dropped since there is no longer any overlaping claimed subject matter. A copy of said Faxed Second Preliminary Amendment filed in the copending application is being attached to this Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary.